

©  
Government of Kerala  
കേരള സർക്കാർ  
2013



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073  
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. റജി. നമ്പർ  
KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

# KERALA GAZETTE

## കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകൃതമായ

Vol. II }  
വാല്യം 2 }  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY  
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, കൊച്ചി

23rd April 2013  
2013 ഏപ്രിൽ 23  
3rd Vaisakha 1935  
1935 വൈശാഖം 3

No. }  
നമ്പർ } 17

## PART I

# Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

### Labour and Rehabilitation Department

#### Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

##### ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 456/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 11th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Abraham Tharakan, Managing Director, Amalgam Enterprises, Amalgam House, XXIV/1604, Plot No. 9, Bristo Road, Wellingdon Island, Kochi (2) The Manager, West Coast Refrigeration and Food Engineering Private Limited (Amalgam Group), Kuthiathode, Thuravoor P. O., Alappuzha and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K.X. Jacob, Kurisinkal House, Kaithaveli, Kannamali P. O., Kochi-8 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

##### ANNEXURE

Whether the claim for reinstatement after accepting retrenchment compensation of Sri K. X. Jacob, Fabricator in M/s. West Coast Refrigeration and Food Engineering (P) Limited, Kuthiathode with effect from 1-10-2010 is justifiable. If so, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 457/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 11th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Palm Fibre (India) Private Limited, Pathirapally P. O., Alappuzha-688 521 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Alappuzha Coir Factory Workers Union (CITU), Reg. No. 1/67, Our Building, North of District Court, Thathampalli P.O., Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of employment to Smt. Aji. V. R., Production Helper in Palm Fibre (India) Private Limited, Pathirapally by the management is justifiable? If so, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 478/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Secretary, P.T.A., Kendriya Vidyalaya Shift-I, Pattom, Thiruvananthapuram-4 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri R. Venu Gopalan Nair, Maniveena, Kurinchilakkode, Mundela P. O., Nedumangad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri Venu Gopalan Nair, Conductor, from the Service of opposite party management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 484/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, KEL, Mamala P.O., Kochi-682 305 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) General Secretary, KEL Employees Congress (INTUC), Mamala P. O. -682 305 (2) General Secretary, KEL Employees Union (CITU), Mamala P.O.-682 305 (3) General Secretary, KEL Workers Union (HMS), Mamala P.O.-682 305 (4) General Secretary, KEL Employees Organisation (AITUC), Mamala P. O.-682 305 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether there is discrimination in the promotion policy to the workers of KEL , Mamala Unit to that of other units of KEL at Kasargod and Kundara ? If so, what is the relief ?

(5)

G O. (Rt.) No. 485/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Civil Supplies Corporation, Thiruvananthapuram (2) The Assistant Manager, Supplyco Depot, Koduvally, Palakutti and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. Velayudhan, Karimbarukuzhiyil, Kodenchery, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri M. Velayudhan, Karimbarukuzhiyil (H) Kodenchery, Kozhikode by the management of Supplyco Depot, Koduvally, Kozhikode is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 487/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Muhammed Koya, Proprietor, Moopans Hotel, Cheruvannur P. O., Feroke -673 631 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kozhikode District Commercial Employees Union, C.I.T.U., Kozhikode Mekhala Committee, Palayam Road, Kozhikode-1, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri V. Muhammed by the Proprietor, Moopans Hotel, Cheruvannur, Feroke is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 488/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Proprietor, Delite Sweet Parlour, Round South, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. P. Paul, S/o Paulose, Karerakkattil Veedu, Nedupuzha P. O., Thrissur-15 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. P. Paul, worker by the management of Delite Sweet Parlour, Thrissur is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 489/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Secretary, Keraleeya Ayurveda Samajam Hospital, Shornur, Palakkad District-679 123 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri. Sreeroop K. S., Kalikulangara, Thalassery P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sreeroop, K. S., Massager, Keraleeya Ayurveda Samajam Hospital by the Management is justifiable or not? If not, What relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 506/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 20th March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Secretary, Valiya Koonampayikulam Sree Bhagavathy Kshetram Trust, Mulluvila, Vadakkevila P. O., Kollam, (2) The Principal, Valiya Koonampayikulathamma College of Engineering and Technology, Mulluvila, Vadakkevila P. O., Kollam, and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri. Suresh Babu, K., Aswathy, Puthalathazham, Vadakkevila P. O., Kollam, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court, will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment with effect from 1-6-2012 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 515/2013/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 21st March 2013.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Arrappetta Estate, Meppadi P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Shaji Thappasseriyl, Near AKG Bhavan, Kalpetta P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that

the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

**ANNEXURE**

Whether there is denial of employment to Shri Shaji, Thappasseriyl, Kalpetta P. O. by the management of Arrapetta Estate, Harrison Malayalam Limited, Meppadi ? If so, what is the remedy?

By order of the Governor,

RAMANKUTTY, C.,  
*Under Secretary to Government.*

---